

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,030	10/665,030 09/16/2003		Kenneth C. Miller	F02-066A	4490	
30948	7590	05/05/2005		EXAMINER		
	OWER LAV		TORRES VELAZQUEZ, NORCA LIZ			
2 CLOCK TOWER PLACE, SUITE 255 MAYNARD, MA 01754-2545				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1771		

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Con					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/665,030	MILLER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Norca L. Torres-Velazquez	1771					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply in the set of extended period for reply will, by state that the provided period for reply will be provided period for reply will	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be teply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 September 2003</u> .						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bure	-	red in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 91603.	6) Other:	. a.c.n., ppnoaucii (1 10-102)					

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 10, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GREENE (US 206,436).

GREENE discloses a paper for roofing sheating formed of a perforated sheet or sheets of paper interposed between covering-sheets, all firmly united. Layer A represents a sheet of paper perforated throughout its length. B represents sheets or layers of paper which are laid over the sheet A, so as to enclose the same, and united thereto by means of paste, gum, cement, or other glutinous substance interposed between the faces of the sheets. The reference further teaches that some of the glutinous substance will enter the perforations of the sheets A and dry therein, whereby the several sheets will be bound and securely held together. (Figure, Columns 1-2)

The reference also teaches the use of a sheet of fabric, netting, or metal between the covering sheets. The Examiner equates this teaching to the at least additional layer of material between the first and second layers in claim 11. Alternatively, a laminate including such layer could also be equated to the first layer and second layer of the present invention, therefore, reading on the limitations of claims 4-5.

It is the Examiner's interpretation that layer A with perforations equates to the middle layer of the present invention and layers B equate to the first and second layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,030 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

With regards to claim 7, it is noted that the reference teaches the use of sheets or layers of paper for the layers B, which is equated herein to the claimed sheeting material.

With regards to claim 10, it is noted that the reference teaches the use of paste, gum, cement or other glutinous substance to bond the layers; these are equated to the glues and hot melt adhesives claimed herein.

With regards to claim 11, it is the Examiner's interpretation that the teachings of GREENE read on the presently claimed process.

It is noted that while the preamble of the present invention claims "a composite <u>vehicle</u> <u>panel</u>", it is the position of the Examiner that since prior art or record meets all of the structural limitations there is nothing on record to evidence that the composite for roofing or sheeting taught by GREENE, would not function in the desired capacity. It is noted that the composite structure taught by the GREENE reference discloses all the structural limitations of the present invention. Applicant is invited to evidence otherwise.

3. Claims 1-3, 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by RIGHINI (FR 2712616 A1).

The reference discloses a multi-layered door panel that has two outer layers connected to blocks passing through holes in the middle layer. (Title) The door panel consists of three basic layers (1, 2, 3) of which the middle one (2) has holes (4) in it for connectors (5) joining the two outer layers (1, 3). The connectors (5) are attached by adhesive to the inner surfaces of both outer panels. (Abstract; Refer to Figure)

Application/Control Number: 10/665,030 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CHOI et al.

(KR 297298 B) in view of RIGHINI (FR 2712616 A1) or in the alternative in view of GREENE

(US 206,436).

CHOI et al. discloses a panel for wall body and interior of automobile. (Title) The panel

generally comprises an intermediate layer (120) and a surface layer (20). The surface layer (20)

is made of natural fiber such as linen or yam, especially, Flax, Hemp, Jute or the like; or

synthetic fiber such as polypropylene and polyester fiber. Alternatively, the intermediate layer

(130) made of wood including Oregon pine, plywood, or MDF. The reference teaches using a

calender process to adhere the layers. (Abstract; Figure)

CHOI et al. is silent to having apertures or holes in the middle layer.

RIGHINI and GREENE teach the use of apertures in the middle layer of a three-layer

laminate as stated in paragraphs 2 and 3 above.

Since all the references are directed to laminate materials, the purpose disclosed by

RIGHINI and GREEENE would have been recognized in the pertinent art of CHOI et al.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

ordinary skill in the art to modify the middle layer of the composite of CHOI et al. and provide

Art Unit: 1771

with apertures with the motivation of joining the two outer layers through the apertures as disclosed by both RIGHINI and GREENE. (Refer above)

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

KAZAMA (US 4,150,186) – discloses a composite board structure that comprises a corrugated fiberboard and a facing web which is attached to the outer face of a liner of the corrugated fiberboard by means of a layer of a hot melt adhesive material between the liner and the facing web, the liner being formed with perforations. (Abstract)

MOENS (US 4,075,380) – refer to Figures

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norca L. Torres-Velazquez whose telephone number is 571-272-1484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Norca L. Torres-Velazquez Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1771

April 28, 2005